Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/11/25 : CIA-RDP86T01017R0	000505270001-1 F/LE	25 X 1
DATE 9/25/86 FILE Central Intelligence Agency		
DATE 9/25/86 FILE Central Intelligence Agency DOC NOSOV M 86-20086 X OIR 3		
P & PD / DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE		
23 September 1986		
Mongolian-US Relations: Why Is Moscow Sanctioning Discussions	?	
	-	
Summary		
Secretary Gorbachev's new Asian policy of promoting the USSR as a reas responsible power interested in dialogue rather than confrontation. Sino-Morelations complement Moscow's approval of the recent thaw in Sino-Morelations and its announcement that it is considering the withdrawal of Soviet troops from Mongolia. The Soviets may have sought to have the discussions start before the ninth round of Sino-Soviet political talk October to provide Beijing another sign that they are willing to relax tight grip on Mongolia and to prompt positive Chinese steps in the Sin relationship. There almost certainly will be hard bargaining about the logistics of setting up and operating a US Embassy in Ulaan Baatar, and could still reconsider—as it apparently did in the 1960s and 1970s—a successful conclusion of the talks. For the moment, however, it appets that the Soviets have made a basic decision to open the Mongolian door crack. We assume Moscow is calling the shots for Ulaan Baatar—as it has past 65 years. The questions are: What do the Soviets hope to gain 19	Such ongolian of some as in a their no-Soviet ne nd Moscow and block bears r a	25X1
Mongolian-US talks? And why move ahead now?	rom the	25X1
This memorandum was prepared by Office of Soviet Analysis, in response to a request from Ambassador Gaston Sigur, Assistance Secretary of State for East Asian and Pacific Affairs. Comments and are welcome and may be addressed to the Chief, Third World Activities Division, SOVA		25X1 25X1
	oe 2000ev	
SOV M 8	36-20086X	25X1
رمات Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/11/25 : CIA-RDP86T01017R0	000505270001-1	25X1

We have no direct evidence to go on, but it seems to us that the issue is best explained in the context of Gorbachev's overall policy toward Asia. Since assuming power he has attempted to reshape the USSR's image in Asia from that of a heavy-handed bully, ever-quick to flex its military might, to that of a responsible "Asian-Pacific" power interested in better relations with most regional states and in being accorded a role in the political and economic activity of the region commensurate with the USSR's superpower status. This shift in approach has included significant steps to improve at least the atmospherics, if not yet the substance, of Soviet relations with China and Japan. Moscow probably hopes that allowing Ulaan Baatar to establish relations with Washington would, coupled with the thaw in Sino-Mongolian relations already underway and a possible imminent reduction of Soviet forces in Mongolia, be interpreted by Asian states--above all, China--as evidence that the USSR genuinely wants improved regional relations.

25X1

The Kremlin also may calculate that its "green light" to Mongolian-US talks will benefit the Soviet-US relationship to some small degree. While there are many far more important issues on the Moscow-Washington agenda at this point, the Soviets may view the Mongolian gambit as a way to portray their new Asian policy as aimed at an understanding with the United States, not a reduction of US influence in the region.

25X1

Moscow's consideration of changes in its Mongolia policy apparently goes back at least to January (see Appendix for chronology of key events).

25X1

The Spring Stumble

By spring Moscow had decided to give the go-ahead to Ulaan Baatar. In April the Mongolians passed a message through the Japanese to the US Embassy in Tokyo expressing the "strong hope" that relations could be normalized. Yet the Mongolians curiously refused to meet with a US Embassy officer from Beijing who traveled to Ulaan Baatar in May on a previously scheduled private visit. The Mongolian Foreign Ministry's failure to meet with the US diplomat probably is best explained by its surprise at the quickness of the US response to its April approach. The Japanese Embassy in Ulaan Baatar was not able to

The Mongolians' failure to make a more direct and authoritative attempt to reinitiate contact with the US probably was due to a combination of factors: "The crush of events. The Mongolians had their party congress in May and "elections" to a new parliament in June. In addition, General Secretary Batmonh was in the USSR and Czechoslovakia for a month in July-August; Chinese Vice Foreign Minister Liu visited in early August (the highest-level Chinese visitor since the Sino-Soviet split in the 1960s); and the Soviets and Mongolians almost certainly held intensive discussions this summer on the issue of a withdrawal of some Soviet forces, which Gorbachev revealed in his major speech in Vladivostok on 28 July. "The embarassment of a botched approach. The Mongolians may have been wary of being rebuffed by Washington if they took the first step and retabled their request for discussions after appearing to have backed away in May. "A reversion to past practice. As the Japanese Ambassador in Ulaan Baatar observed, traditional Mongolian behavior is to always let the	assified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011.	/11/25 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000505270001-1	25
was prepared to receive any message for Washington. There probably was not enough time during the four days he was there to get approval from the bureaucracies in Ulaan Baatar and Moscow for a concrete proposal to the United States on beginning negotiations. The US Embassy in Tokyo later learned that the Mongolian Foreign Ministry did not intend its failure to receive the diplomat to be read as a signal of a change of heart. This version would appear to have been corroborated by the clearly prearranged pitch for establishing relations that the Mongolian DCM in Toyko made to a US Embassy officer three weeks after the snub of the US diplomat in Ulaan Baatar. The Mongolians' failure to make a more direct and authoritative attempt to reinitiate contact with the US probably was due to a combination of factors: "The crush of events. The Mongolians had their party congress in May and "elections" to a new parliament in June. In addition, General Secretary Batmonh was in the USSR and Czechoslovakia for a month in July-August; Chinese Vice Foreign Minister Liu visited in early August (the highest-level Chinese visitor since the Sino-Soviet split in the 1960s); and the Soviets and Mongolians almost certainly held intensive discussions this summer on the issue of a withdrawal of some Soviet forces, which Gorbachev revealed in his major speech in Vladivostok on 28 July. "The embarassment of a botched approach. The Mongolians may have been wary of being rebuffed by Washington if they took the first step and retabled their request for discussions after appearing to have backed away in May. "A reversion to past practice. As the Japanese Ambassador in Ulaan Baatar observed, traditional Mongolian behavior is to always let the			
 The crush of events. The Mongolians had their party congress in May and "elections" to a new parliament in June. In addition, General Secretary Batmonh was in the USSR and Czechoslovakia for a month in July-August; Chinese Vice Foreign Minister Liu visited in early August (the highest-level Chinese visitor since the Sino-Soviet split in the 1960s); and the Soviets and Mongolians almost certainly held intensive discussions this summer on the issue of a withdrawal of some Soviet forces, which Gorbachev revealed in his major speech in Vladivostok on 28 July. The embarassment of a botched approach. The Mongolians may have been wary of being rebuffed by Washington if they took the first step and retabled their request for discussions after appearing to have backed away in May. A reversion to past practice. As the Japanese Ambassador in Ulaan Baatar observed, traditional Mongolian behavior is to always let the 	was prepared to receive any message for Washin enough time during the four days he was there bureaucracies in Ulaan Baatar and Moscow for a States on beginning negotiations. The US Emba the Mongolian Foreign Ministry did not intend diplomat to be read as a signal of a change of appear to have been corroborated by the clearl establishing relations that the Mongolian DCM officer three weeks after the snub of the US do The Mongolians' failure to make a more dito reinitiate contact with the US probably was	gton. There probably was not to get approval from the concrete proposal to the United ssy in Tokyo later learned that its failure to receive the heart. This version would y prearranged pitch for in Toyko made to a US Embassy iplomat in Ulaan Baatar.	25
wary of being rebuffed by Washington if they took the first step and retabled their request for discussions after appearing to have backed away in May. * A reversion to past practice. As the Japanese Ambassador in Ulaan Baatar observed, traditional Mongolian behavior is to always let the	The crush of events. The Mongolians had "elections" to a new parliament in Secretary Batmonh was in the USSR and July-August; Chinese Vice Foreign Mini (the highest-level Chinese visitor sin 1960s); and the Soviets and Mongolians discussions this summer on the issue of forces, which Gorbachev revealed in hi	June. In addition, General Czechoslovakia for a month in ster Liu visited in early August lice the Sino-Soviet split in the almost certainly held intensive of a withdrawal of some Soviet	
Baatar observed, traditional Mongolian behavior is to always let the	wary of being rebuffed by Washington i retabled their request for discussions	f they took the first step and	
	Baatar observed, traditional Mongoliar	Japanese Ambassador in Ulaan behavior is to always let the	25
	Ulaan Baatar's sense of haste in beginning Mongolian permanent representatives to the UN is due to a variety of reasons. By the time of through Ambassador Walters, the Mongolians prohow to respond. From a national prestige anglougersuren in New York while the first discuss facilitate his being received by Ambassador Walterel State Department official.	before 2 October also probably of the late August US approach obably had their act together on le, having Foreign Minister sions were in progress might	25

3

Moscow may also have wanted the negotiations underway before the ninth round of Sino-Soviet political talks begins in October (exact dates have yet to be announced). The Soviets probably hope that the Chinese will regard the US-Mongolian discussions as a further indicator that the Kremlin is serious about at least slightly loosening its iron grip on Mongolia and will reciprocate with some concessions of their own on Sino-Soviet relations. It is also still possible that Moscow will couple the Mongolian-US gambit with an announcement either before or during the Beijing talks that it is withdrawing some troops from Mongolia. Deputy Foreign Minister Rogachev said in August that the Soviet-Mongolian talks on the subject would be completed soon.

25X1

Foreign Minister Dugersuren's planned presence in New York while the talks are underway indicates that the Mongolians take them seriously and may even be willing to move forward rapidly to an agreement. However, the experience of the negotiations of the mid-1960s and again in the early 1970s, when Ulaan Baatar twice showed great interest in establishing relations and then abruptly backed away, calls for a heavy dose of caution. There probably are powerful voices in the Soviet military and KGB who are arguing against giving the United States a listening post in Mongolia. And the US will almost certainly face difficult negotiations with the Mongolians over the number of US diplomats to be allowed in country and their freedom of travel, embassy facilities, and communications arrangements—the apparent stumbling blocks in the past. Although it seems that this time around Moscow has already made a basic decision about opening the Mongolian door a crack, we should not rule out another change of heart.

Appendix

Chronology of Major Events Affecting Mongolian Approach to the United States

1986

21-25 January

Mongolian Defense Minister in Moscow.

25X1 25X1

23-25 January

Shevardnadze visits Ulaan Baatar. When Mongolians brought up question of establishing relations with the US, Shevardnadze reportedly said USSR did not object in principle but advised MPR to move slowly.

Late February - early March

General Secretary Batmonh in Moscow for CPSU 27th Party Congress. Meets with Gorbachev on 3 March.

April

Mongolian Embassy in Toyko, via the Japanese, passes message to US Embassy expressing "strong hope" that US-Mongolian relations could be normalized.

Early May

US asks Japanese to tell Mongolians that US Embassy officer stationed in Beijing would be on a previously scheduled private trip to Ulaan Baatar 8-11 May and if they had anything to say on normalization, they should pass it on to him. Japanese Ambassador in Ulaan Baatar conveys this message on morning of 8 May to Mongolian MFA First Deputy Minister Yondon, who expresses surprise at the "sudden" US response to his "inquiry of an unofficial nature" earlier conveyed through the Japanese.

8-11 May

US Embassy Beijing officer visits Ulaan Baatar but his request for meeting with MFA officials is turned down. US Embassy Tokyo later learns that the MFA was surprised by the sudden and untimely appearance of the US diplomat a few weeks before the Mongolian party congress. MFA officials said the failure to receive him was not a signal that the MPR has lost interest in discussing the establishment of relations with the US.

5

26 May	Mongolian DCM in Tokyo approaches US Embassy political officer at Argentine national day reception and sayswith Soviet naval attache listening inthat "in my opinion there are no problems in establishing diplomatic relations between our two countries."	
28 July	Gorbachev announces in his Vladivostok speech that Soviet and Mongolian leadership are "at present" examining the question of withdrawing a "considerable number" of Soviet troops from Mongolia.	
28 July	Batmonh arrives in Moscow for his annual vacation in the USSR.	
Early August	Chinese Vice Foreign Minister Liu visits Ulaan Baatar. Highest level visit since Sino-Soviet split in 1960s. Signs consular treaty.	
8 August	Deputy Foreign Minister Rogachev, in interview with Christian Science Monitor, says Soviet-Mongolian talks on reduction of Soviet forces in Mongolia would be concluded soon.	
12 August	Batmonh and Gorbachev meet in Moscow. Communique routine. No hint of problems, but also no direct mention of troop withdrawal idea.	
Late August	US UN Perm Rep Vernon Walters approaches his Mongolian counterpart and proposes beginning discussions on normalization. Talks could be conducted by the two UN Perm Reps or at any other appropriate venue Mongolians wish.	
17 September	Mongolian UN Perm Rep tells Amb Walters that Mongolia would like to begin such discussions between the two of them. The Mongolian requests that the talks begin before his Foreign Minister arrives in New York on 2 October for UNGA.	25X1
		25 X 1

SUBJECT: Mongolian-US Relations: Why Is Moscow Sanctioning Discussions?

External Distribution

White House

The Honorable Alton Keel Deputy Assistant to the President NSC White House

NSC

Ambassador Jack Matlock, Jr. Special Assistant to the President USSR-Eastern Europe NSC Room 368, Old EOB

Ron St. Martin Director, Crisis Management Center Room 303, Old EOB

Donald Gregg Assistant to the Vice President NSC Room 298, Old EOB

Robert Lilac Director, Political-Military Affairs NSC Room 391, EOB

James H. Kelly, Jr. Senior Staff Member East Asia NSC

State

Ambassador H. Allen Holmes Director, Bureau of Politico-Military Affairs Room 7327, Department of State

The Honorable Michael H. Armacost Under Secretary for Political Affairs Room 7240, Department of State

The Honorable Vernon A. Walters US Ambassador to the United Nations US Mission to the UN Department of State

SUBJECT: Mongolian-US Relations: Why Is Moscow Sanctioning Discussions?

External Distribution (Continued)

State

Ambassador Rozanne L. Ridgway Assistant Secretary Bureau of European and Canadian Affairs Room 6226, Department of State

Ambassador Morton I. Abramowitz Director Bureau of Intelligence and Research Room 6531, Department of State

James Lilley Deputy Assistant Secretary Bureau of East Asian and Pacific Affairs Room 6205, Department of State

Thomas Simon
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of European and Canadian Affairs
Room 6219, Department of State

Robert W. Drexler Director, Regional Affairs Bureau of East Asian and Pacific Affairs Room 4210, Department of State

Sherrod McCall Director, Office of Research and Analysis for East Asia and Pacific INR Room 8840, Department of State

Galen Fox Chief, Northeast Asia Division Office of Analysis for East Asia and Pacific Room 8840, Department of State

Mark R. Parris Director, Office of Soviet Soviet Union Affairs Bureau of European Affairs Room 4217, Department of State

SUBJECT: Mongolian-US Relations: Why Is Moscow Sanctioning Discussions?

External Distribution (Continued)

State

Robert H. Baraz Director, INR/SEE Room 4758, Department of State

Thomas Fingar Chief, INR/EAP/CH Room 8840, Department of State

Jack Sontag INR/EAP/CH Room 8840, Department of State

Richard Solomon Director Policy Planning Staff Room 7311, Department of State

Douglas Paal Policy Planning Staff Room 7330, Department of State

DoD

The Honorable Richard L. Armitage Assistant Secretary of Defense (International Security Affairs) Room 4E808, The Pentagon

The Honorable Fred C. Ikle Under Secretary of Defense for Policy Room 4D825, The Pentagon

Dr. Darnell Whitt Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Policy). Room 2E812, The Pentagon

Andrew Marshall Director of Net Assessment Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Policy) Room 3A930, The Pentagon

Col. David R. Brown Executive Secretary Office of the Secretary of Defense Room 3A948, The Pentagon

				_	
Declassified in Part	 Sanitized Copy 	Approved for Release	e 2011/11/25 :	CIA-RDP86T010)17R000505270001-1

1			
1			

SUBJECT: Mongolian-US-Relations: Why Is Moscow Sanctioning Discussions?

External Distribution (Continued)

DoD

Carl Jackson Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for East and Pacific Affairs Room 4D825, The Pentagon

Lt. Gen. John M. Mollering, USA Assistant to the Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff Room 2E872, The Pentagon

Lt. Gen. Dale A. Vesser Director, J-5 Plans and Policy Joint Chiefs of Staff Room 2E996, The Pentagon

Vice Admiral Powell Carter Director Joint Chiefs of Staff, JCS Room 2E936, The Pentagon

Dr. Wynfred Joshua DIO for European and Soviet Politico-Military Affairs DIA Room 2C238, The Pentagon

Lt. Gen. Sidney T. Weinstein, USA Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence Department of the Army Room 2E466, The Pentagon

Maj. Gen. Schuyler Bissell, USAF Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence Department of the Air Force Room 4A932, The Pentagon

RADM Willliam O. Studeman Director of Naval Intelligence 5C572, The Pentagon

Other

Lt. Gen. William E. Odom, USA Director, NSA T532/CDB Fort Meade, MD

Declassified in Part	- Sanitized Copy Appro	ved for Relea	se 2011/11/	25 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000505270001-1
SUBJECT:	Mongolian-US-Relat	ions: Why	Is Moscow	Sanctioning Discussions?
Internal	Distribution	·		·
1 - E 1 - D 1 - S 6 - O 1 - N 1 - N 1 - N 1 - D 1 - D 1 - D 1 - D 1 - D	Senior Review Panel OCPAS/IMD/CB Vice Chairman, NIC NIC/AG NIO/USSR NIO/EA NIO/GPF PDB Staff	Staff		

1 - C/SOVA/NIG
1 - C/NIG/DPD
1 - C/NIG/EPD
1 - C/SOVA/SIG
1 - C/SIG/FSD
1 - C/SIG/SPD
1 - C/SOVA/DEIG
1 - C/DEIG/DED
1 - C/DEIG/DID
1 - C/SOVA/TWA
1 - C/SOVA/TWA/A
1 - C/SOVA/TWA/A

1 - SOVA/TWA/A Chrono

1 - SOVA/TWA/A

.. |

25**X**1

25**X**1

25X1